Intertwining of fecundity, sexual and viability selection on spring phenology along an altitudinal gradient of European beech INRA



Sylvie Oddou-Muratorio, Julie Gauzere, Francois Lefèvre, Etienne Klein

Avignon, 22/06/2022

Phenology, a key trait for the genetic adaptation of populations to ongoing climate change

- Significant advance in leafing, flowering and fruiting records in temperate plants (Menzel et al. 2006)
- Evidence for rapid evolution of phenological traits in response to selection (Franks et al. 2007, Hamman et al. 2018)
- To what extent microevolution may contribute to the response of plants' populations to climatic variation (Merilä and Hendry 2014) ?





Oddou-Muratorio





How can we disentangle/estimate the components of selection on beech spring phenology ?



Material and methods: estimating selection *in situ* in the European beech (*Fagus sylvatica*)







 TBB < Phenological survey
and Q female fecundities estimated through paternity and parentage analyses





Assortative mating

Random mating, site low Elevation



Significant correlation between mother and father's TBB at low elevation

Realized mating





Sexual selection



• *d* fecundity decreased with increasing

Fecundity selection



 $\ensuremath{\stackrel{\bigcirc}{_{_{_{_{}}}}}}$ fecundity of the more competed trees increased with increasing TBB



 \bigcirc and \bigcirc fecundity decreased with increasing TBB at high elevation



High E

Fecundity selection

Viability selection

Diameter growth lower for:

- Seedling with late budburst at both plots
- Seedling with early budburst at plot N1

1= Low elevation 2= High elevation



Synthesis: components of selection on beech spring phenology



Stabilizing selection on TBB through 3° fecundity at both plots

TBB

Directional <u>fecundity</u> <u>selection</u> for late budburst in small trees through♀ fecundity at low E.

 \leftarrow

Late

Directional <u>fecundity</u> <u>selection</u> for early budburst through: • ♀ fecundity at both plots

• \checkmark fecundity at high E

Directional <u>viability</u> <u>selection</u> for early budburst through growth at high E

Early

 \rightarrow

Oddou-Muratorio

Synthesis: components of selection on beech spring phenology



- One of the first estimation of the strength of assortative mating in a tree species, and of significant Bateman's gradient for *A* fecundity
- Selection for earlier budburst though \bigcirc fecundity and vegetative performance, consistent with the literature (Geber and Griffen 2003; Munguía-Rosas et al. 2011, Austen et al. 2017)
- Particularly clear at high elevation, in line with patterns of genetic differentiation for TBB in beech (Gömöry and Paule 2011; Gauzere et al. 2020)
- ➤ At low elevation, late budburst was adaptative for small trees: indicative of a water-saving strategy (delayed budburst → drought resistance), co-existing with a water-uptake strategy (early budburst) (Bontemps et al. 2017)

Difficulty in estimating selection mediated by late frosts

Thank you for your attention



Sylvie Oddou-Muratorio, Julie Gauzere, Francois Lefèvre, Etienne Klein

Avignon, 22/06/2022



Distribution of phenological scores

- Low elevation: 15 dates of observations
- High elevation: 13 dates of observations

Phenological stages

1: dormant

2: swelling





Distribution of phenological mismatches

 $|Pmis|_{s} = sum of difference in TBB between the focal tress and its neighbors$ $|Pmis|_{m} = mean of difference in TBB between the focal tress and its neighbors$



.-Muratorio

Distribution of phenological scores

Bontemps et al. 2017. Oikos

Early leaf unfolding (high PSS) Low water use efficiency (d13C) Low leaf mass per area (LMA) High water content (LWC)







Late leaf unfolding (low PSS) High water use efficiency (d13C) High leaf mass per area (LMA) Low water content (LWC)

> water-saving strategy

Genetic clines as the signature of local adaptation driven by the timing of budburst

- Co-gradient versus counter-gradient
- ➢ counter-gradient ↔ maladaptive plasticity (Connover & Shultz 2003) ?

